From: Smalley, Bryant
To: Ruhl, Christopher

Subject: RE: Lard Oil - Polrep and Website review Date: Tuesday, August 23, 2016 8:07:00 AM

Can I make the Website Public, but keep the PolRep private?

Bryant

From: Ruhl, Christopher

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 10:14 AM

To: Smalley, Bryant

Subject: FW: Lard Oil - Polrep and Website review

Bryant-

XA has taken a look at it. If possible, take a look at the language surrounding the access agreements. We don't want it to appear that we are getting access for the RP nor visa versa. Perhaps clarifying that both groups are getting access separately. Also, David has concerns with the use of Team as it sounds like we may have an army of people dedicated to the effort. Once you make those adjustments the polrep is ready to be sent out to the normal distribution list. Let's leave the polrep private for the time being. If this presents an issue operationally let me know.

Chris Ruhl

USEPA R6 Oil Team Leader Federal On Scene Coordinator

(214) 665-7356 office

From: Gray, David

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 7:35 AM

To: Ruhl, Christopher < Ruhl.Christopher@epa.gov > **Cc:** Crossland, Ronnie < Crossland.Ronnie@epa.gov > **Subject:** RE: Lard Oil - Polrep and Website review

Polrep looks fine to me. Although the statement 'Teams of EPA ... ' makes it sound like we have a lot of people in the field getting access agreements. Also, I would not post the photo showing 'Mobil' labeled containers.

Let's HOLD on making the spill webpage public.

David

From: Ruhl, Christopher

Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 6:38 AM **To:** Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov>

Cc: Crossland, Ronnie < <u>Crossland.Ronnie@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Lard Oil - Polrep and Website review

Good morning David,

Attached is the initial Polrep for the Lard Oil Spill in Denham Springs we have been reporting in the flood updates. I wanted to share it with you in case you wanted to review it prior to its distribution. Also, the website for the Incident can be found at.

https://www.epaosc.org/lardoilcompany

The OSC has requested to make them both public. I have reviewed both and don't see an issue.